arXiv:0907.1905v1 [math.KT] 10 Jul 2009

CUBICAL RESOLUTIONS AND DERIVED FUNCTORS

IRAKLI PATCHKORIA

Abstract. We introduce pseudocubical objects with pseudoconnections in an arbitrary category, obtained from the Brown-Higgins structure of a cubical object with connections by suitably relaxing their identities, and construct a cubical analog of the Tierney-Vogel theory of simplicial derived functors. The crucial point in the construction is that projective precubical resolutions which are naturally used to define our cubical derived functors possess pseudodegeneracies and pseudoconnections. The same fact is essentially used for proving that in the case of an additive functor between abelian categories, our theory coincides with the classical relative theory of derived functors by Eilenberg-Moore.

Introduction

In [18] Tierney and Vogel for any functor $T : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{B}$, where $\mathscr{A}$ is a category with finite limits and with a projective class $\mathscr{P}$, and $\mathscr{B}$ is an abelian category, have constructed derived functors and investigated relationships of their theory with other theories of derived functors. Namely, they have shown that if $\mathscr{A}$ is abelian and T is additive, then their theory coincides with the classical relative theory of Eilenberg-Moore [7], whereas if $\mathscr{A}$ is abelian and T is an arbitrary functor, then it gives a generalization of the theory of Dold-Puppe [6]. Besides, they showed that their derived functors are naturally isomorphic to the cotriple derived functors of Barr-Beck [3] if there is a cotriple in $\mathscr{A}$ that realizes the given projective class $\mathscr{P}$.

The key point in the construction of the derived functors by Tierney and Vogel is that, using $\mathscr{P}$-projective objects and simplicial kernels, for every A from $\mathscr{A}$ a $\mathscr{P}$-projective resolution can be constructed, which is an A-augmented pseudosimplicial object in $\mathscr{A}$ and which for a given A is unique up to a presimplicial homotopy (according to the comparison theorem for $\mathscr{P}$-projective resolutions).

A natural question arises about constructing a cubical analog of the theory of Tierney and Vogel. Exactly this is the purpose of this paper.

Before turning to the content of the paper itself, let us say few words about cubical objects and techniques. As it is well known, simplicial methods are developed for long time and are successfully used in algebra and topology. With less success, but still also cubical techniques have been developed, which was initiated on one hand by the systematic use of singular cubes in the singular homology theory of topological spaces (see, for example, [14]), and, on the other hand, by the papers of Kan [12, 13] which have related cubical sets to homotopy theory. Further research (see e. g. [1,2,4,5,8-11,16,17,19]) has also shown that the cubical approach is interesting and important. Cubical objects have a number of advantages compared to the simplicial ones. For example, a (pre)cubical homotopy is given by a single morphism in each dimension, whereas a (pre)simplicial homotopy requires "many" morphisms. On the other hand, significant disadvantages of the cubical technique with respect to the simplicial one are also apparent. For example, a cubical group, in fact even a cubical abelian group, can fail to satisfy the Kan condition [16,17]. In the context of elimination of these and other disadvantages of the cubical theory, of extreme importance are cubical objects with connections introduced by Brown and Higgins [4]. These objects are cubical objects with extra degeneracies, called connections. To stress importance and naturality of cubical objects with connections it suffices to name e. g. the following three facts. The singular cubical

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18G10, 18E25, 18G30, 18G25, 18G55, 55N10.

Key words and phrases. cubical object, cubical object with connections, derived functors, Moore chain complex, precubical homotopy, projective class, projective precubical resolution, pseudocubical object with pseudoconnections, simplicial object.

complex of any topological space has naturally defined connections. Next, Tonks in [19] has shown that any cubical group with connections satisfies the Kan condition. Finally, Brown and Higgins [5] have recently proved that the category of cubical objects with connections in an abelian category is equivalent to the category of nonnegative chain complexes in the same category.

In this paper we introduce pseudocubical objects with pseudoconnections, obtained from the Brown-Higgins structure of a cubical object with connections by suitably relaxing their identities. Projective precubical resolutions which we are using to construct cubical derived functors possess pseudodegeneracies and pseudoconnections and this fact is essentially used in the construction of derived functors defined by us.

Now let us list the contents of the paper by sections.

In Section 1 we recall the notions of presimplicial, pseudosimplicial and simplicial objects, precubical, cubical objects and cubical objects with connections, their morphisms, and the respective augmented versions of these notions. We also recall the definitions of normalization functors in the simplicial setting by Moore, and in the cubical setting by Świątek [16]. Furthermore we recall the Kan cubical sets and their homotopy groups.

For any presimplicial object S in an abelian category $\mathscr{A}$ the normalized chain complex of S, denoted by $I(S)$ in this paper, is a chain subcomplex of the unnormalized chain complex of S, denoted by $J(S)$. The well known Moore theorem says that if S is a simplicial object in $\mathscr{A}$, then this inclusion is a chain homotopy equivalence (in fact this is valid for any pseudosimplicial object in $\mathscr{A}$). On the other hand, for any precubical object X in an abelian category $\mathscr{A}$, one has the canonical inclusion $i_X : M(X) \hookrightarrow N(X)$ of chain complexes $M(X)$ and $N(X)$ in $\mathscr{A}$ which are cubical analogs of $I(S)$ and $J(S)$ (see [16, 17]) respectively, and which functorially depend on X. In Section 2 we introduce pseudocubical objects with pseudoconnections and prove that i_X is a chain homotopy equivalence for any pseudocubical object X with pseudoconnections (in particular for any cubical object X with connections). Thus we obtain a cubical analog of the Moore theorem. Then we establish some results which are not needed later on; however they seem interesting by themselves. Let us mention some of them. We indicate an alternative proof of the aforementioned Brown-Higgins equivalence. Furthermore, we point out that this equivalence is realized by the above functor M. Next, we show that if G is a Kan cubical group, then $\pi_n(G)$, the n-th homotopy group of G, coincides with $H_n(M(G))$, the n-th homology group of $M(G)$ (note that $M(G)$ and $H_n(M(G))$ are defined for any cubical group G as well). Using this and the cubical analog of the Moore theorem together with the aforementioned result of Tonks, we get that $\pi_n(G)$ is naturally isomorphic to $H_n(N(G))$ for any cubical abelian group G with connections.

Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a category with finite limits and a projective class $\mathscr{P}$, $\mathscr{B}$ an abelian category, and $T : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{B}$ an arbitrary (covariant) finctor. In Section 3 we construct left cubical derived functors $L_n^{\square}T$, $\widetilde{L}_n^{\square}T : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{B}$, $n \geqslant 0$, as follows. First we show how to build for any object A from $\mathscr{A}$ an A-augmented $\mathscr{P}$-projective precubical resolution, denoted $P \xrightarrow{\partial} A$, by means of cubical kernels and $\mathscr{P}$-projective objects. Then we prove the comparison theorem which in particular says that $P \xrightarrow{\partial} A$ is unique up to precubical homotopy for a given A. Furthermore, it is shown that any $\mathscr{P}$-projective precubical resolution $P \xrightarrow{\partial} A$ is in fact an augmented pseudocubical object with pseudoconnections. We define $L_n^{\square}T(A)$, $n \geqslant 0$, to be the n-th homology of $N(T(P))$. Besides the comparison theorem, the fact that P has pseudodegeneracies is crucial in proving that $L_n^{\square}T(A)$ are well-defined and functorially depend on A. This contrasts with the construction of the derived functors by Tierney and Vogel which does not use existence of pseudodegeneracies in $\mathscr{P}$-projective presimplicial resolutions (pseudodegeneracies of $\mathscr{P}$-projective presimplicial resolutions are essentially used when the theory of Tierney and Vogel is compared with other theories of derived functors). Further, we define $\widetilde{L}_n^{\square}T(A)$, $n \geqslant 0$, to be the n-th homology group of $M(T(P))$. Now this construction essentially uses the fact that P is a pseudocubical object with pseudoconnections, i. e., this is crucial for proving that $\widetilde{L}_n^{\square}T(A)$ are well-defined and functorial in A. The cubical analog of the Moore theorem proved in the previous section shows that in fact there are isomorphisms $L_n^{\square}T(A) \cong \widetilde{L}_n^{\square}T(A)$, $n \geqslant 0$, which are natural in A and T.

Suppose $\mathscr{A}$ is an abelian category with a projective class $\mathscr{P}$, $\mathscr{B}$ an abelian category, and $T : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{B}$ an additive (covariant) functor. Then one constructs, with respect to $\mathscr{P}$, the left derived functors $L_nT : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{B}$ $(n \geqslant 0)$ of T in the sense of Eilenberg-Moore [7]. On the other hand, since any abelian category admits finite limits, we can build $\mathscr{P}$-projective precubical resolutions, and therefore can construct the cubical left derived functors $L_n^{\square}T : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{B}$, $n \geqslant 0$. In Section 4, using once again the cubical analog of the Moore theorem, we prove that if $\mathscr{P}$ is closed [7] or, more generally, is closed with respect to retracts, then there are isomorphisms $L_n^{\square}T(A) \cong L_nT(A)$, $A \in \mathscr{A}$, $n \geqslant 0$, which are natural in A and T.

1. Preliminaries

We begin with the following known definitions.

Definition 1.1. A presimplicial object S in a category $\mathscr{A}$ is a family of objects $(S_n \in \mathscr{A})_{n\geqslant 0}$ together with face $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms

$$\partial_i : S_n \to S_{n-1} \quad (n \geqslant 1,\ 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$$

satisfying

$$\partial_i \partial_j = \partial_{j-1}\partial_i \qquad i < j.$$

A pseudosimplicial object is a presimplicial object together with pseudodegeneracy $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms

$$s_i : S_n \to S_{n+1} \quad (0 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$$

satisfying

$$\partial_i s_j = \begin{cases} s_{j-1}\partial_i & i < j, \\ \mathrm{id} & i = j,\ j+1, \\ s_j \partial_{i-1} & i > j+1. \end{cases}$$

A simplicial object is a pseudosimplicial object satisfying the identity

$$s_i s_j = s_{j+1} s_i \qquad i \leqslant j.$$

A morphism $f : X \to X'$ between presimplicial objects in a category $\mathscr{A}$ is a family of $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms $(f_n : X_n \to X'_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$ which commute with the face operators. If X and X' are (pseudo)simplicial objects, then the $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms f_n must commute with the face and (pseudo)degeneracy operators.

Definition 1.2. A precubical object X in a category $\mathscr{A}$ is a family of objects $(X_n \in \mathscr{A})_{n\geqslant 0}$ together with $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms

$$\partial_i^0, \partial_i^1 : X_n \to X_{n-1} \quad (1 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$$

satisfying

$$\partial_i^\alpha \partial_j^\varepsilon = \partial_{j-1}^\varepsilon \partial_i^\alpha \qquad i < j,\ \alpha,\ \varepsilon \in \{0,1\}.$$

The $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms ∂_i^0 and ∂_i^1 are called face operators.

Definition 1.3 ([12])**.** A cubical object X in a category $\mathscr{A}$ is a family of objects $(X_n \in \mathscr{A})_{n\geqslant 0}$ together with $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms

$$\partial_i^0, \partial_i^1 : X_n \to X_{n-1}$$

as above and

$$s_i : X_{n-1} \to X_n \quad (1 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$$

which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i^\alpha \partial_j^\varepsilon &= \partial_{j-1}^\varepsilon \partial_i^\alpha && i < j,\ \alpha,\ \varepsilon \in \{0,1\}, \\ s_i s_j &= s_{j+1} s_i && i \leqslant j, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\partial_i^\alpha s_j = \begin{cases} s_{j-1}\partial_i^\alpha & i<j, \\ \mathrm{id} & i=j, \\ s_j\partial_{i-1}^\alpha & i>j, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha \in \{0,1\}$. The $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms s_i are called degeneracy operators.

Definition 1.4 ([4]). A cubical object X in a category $\mathscr{A}$ is said to have connections if there are given $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms

$$\Gamma_i : X_n \to X_{n+1} \quad (1 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$$

satisfying

$$\Gamma_i\Gamma_j = \Gamma_{j+1}\Gamma_i \qquad i \leqslant j,$$

$$\Gamma_i s_j = \begin{cases} s_{j+1}\Gamma_i & i<j, \\ s_i^2 & i=j, \\ s_j\Gamma_{i-1} & i>j, \end{cases}$$

$$\partial_i^\alpha\Gamma_j = \begin{cases} \Gamma_{j-1}\partial_i^\alpha & i<j,\ \ \alpha\in\{0,1\}, \\ \mathrm{id} & i=j,\ j+1,\ \ \alpha=0, \\ s_j\partial_j^\alpha & i=j,\ j+1,\ \ \alpha=1, \\ \Gamma_j\partial_{i-1}^\alpha & i>j+1,\ \ \alpha\in\{0,1\}. \end{cases}$$

Example 1.5 ([4]). The singular cubical complex KX of a topological space X is a cubical object with connections in the category of sets. The connections

$$\Gamma_i : K_nX \to K_{n+1}X \quad (n \geqslant 1)$$

are defined by

$$\Gamma_i\,(f:[0,1]^n \to X)\,(t_1,t_2,...,t_{n+1}) = f(t_1,...,t_{i-1},\max(t_i,t_{i+1}),t_{i+2},...,t_{n+1}).$$

A morphism $f : X \to X'$ between precubical objects in a category $\mathscr{A}$ is a family of $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms $(f_n : X_n \to X'_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$ which commute with the face operators. If X and X' are cubical objects, then the $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms f_n must commute with the face and degeneracy operators; and if X and X' are cubical objects with connections, then the $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms f_n must commute with the faces, degeneracies and connections.

For any category $\mathscr{A}$, let us denote by $pres(\mathscr{A})$ the category of presimplicial objects in $\mathscr{A}$, by $prec(\mathscr{A})$ the category of precubical objects in $\mathscr{A}$, by $c(\mathscr{A})$ the category of cubical objects in $\mathscr{A}$, and by $cc(\mathscr{A})$ the category of cubical objects with connections in $\mathscr{A}$.

Let $\mathscr{A}$ be an abelian category, and $Ch_{\geqslant 0}(\mathscr{A})$ the category of non-negatively graded chain complexes in $\mathscr{A}$. We essentially use the normalization functor

$$N : prec(\mathscr{A}) \to Ch_{\geqslant 0}(\mathscr{A})$$

of Świątek [16, 17] which is constructed as follows. If $X, Y \in prec(\mathscr{A})$ and $f = (f_n : X_n \to Y_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$ is a precubical morphism, then define

$$N_0(X) = X_0, \quad N_n(X) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{Ker}(\partial_i^1 : X_n \to X_{n-1}), \quad n>0,$$

$$\partial = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+1}\partial_i^0 : N_n(X) \to N_{n-1}(X), \quad n>0,$$

$$N_n(f : X \to Y) = f_n|_{N_n(X)}\,, \ \ n \geqslant 0.$$

Of less importance for us is the functor

$$C : prec(\mathscr{A}) \longrightarrow Ch_{\geqslant 0}(\mathscr{A})$$

which is defined for arbitrary abelian category $\mathscr{A}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
&C(X)_n = X_n,\ n \geqslant 0,\\
&\partial = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i(\partial_i^1 - \partial_i^0) : C(X)_n \longrightarrow C(X)_{n-1},\ n > 0,\\
&C(f : X \to X')_n = f_n,\ n \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}$$

(see [16, 17]).

Let $\mathscr{A}$ be again an abelian category. Recall the definition of the Moore normalization functor

$$I : pres(\mathscr{A}) \to Ch_{\geqslant 0}(\mathscr{A}).$$

Assume S, $S' \in pres(\mathscr{A})$ and $g = (g_n : S_n \to S'_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$ is a presimplicial morphism. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&I_0(S) = S_0, \quad I_n(S) = \bigcap_{i=0}^{n-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\partial_i : S_n \to S_{n-1}), \quad n > 0,\\
&\partial = (-1)^n \partial_n : I_n(S) \to I_{n-1}(S), \quad n > 0,\\
&I_n(g : S \to S') = g_n|_{I_n(S)},\ n \geqslant 0.
\end{aligned}$$

One also has the functor

$$J : pres(\mathscr{A}) \to Ch_{\geqslant 0}(\mathscr{A})$$

assigning to $S \in pres(\mathscr{A})$ its unnormalized chain complex. More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned}
&J_n(S) = S_n, \quad n \geqslant 0,\\
&\partial = \sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^i \partial_i : J_n(S) \to J_{n-1}(S), \quad n > 0,\\
&J_n(g : S \to S') = g_n,\ n \geqslant 0.
\end{aligned}$$

An augmented precubical (resp. presimplicial) object in a category $\mathscr{A}$ is a precubical (resp. presimplicial) object X in $\mathscr{A}$ together with an object $A \in \mathscr{A}$ and an $\mathscr{A}$-morphism $\partial : X_0 \to A$ satisfying $\partial\partial_1^0 = \partial\partial_1^1$ (resp. $\partial\partial_0 = \partial\partial_1$). Such an object is denoted by $X \xrightarrow{\partial} A$. A morphism between $X \xrightarrow{\partial} A$ and $X' \xrightarrow{\partial'} A'$ is a morphism

$$\overline{f} = (f_n : X_n \to X'_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$$

between X and X' together with an $\mathscr{A}$-morphism $f : A \to A'$ satisfying $f\partial = \partial' f_0$. Denote the category of augmented precubical (resp. presimplicial) objects in $\mathscr{A}$ by $aprec(\mathscr{A})$ (resp. $apres(\mathscr{A})$), by $aps(\mathscr{A})$ the category of augmented pseudosimplicial objects in $\mathscr{A}$, and by $as(\mathscr{A})$ the category of augmented simplicial objects in $\mathscr{A}$.

Assume $\mathscr{A}$ is abelian. Then a nonnegative chain complex in $\mathscr{A}$ augmented over $A \in \mathscr{A}$ is a nonnegative chain complex X in $\mathscr{A}$ together with an $\mathscr{A}$-morphism $\partial : X_0 \to A$ satisfying $\partial\partial_1 = 0$. Denote the category of augmented nonnegative chain complexes in $\mathscr{A}$ by $aCh_{\geqslant 0}(\mathscr{A})$.

For any abelian category $\mathscr{A}$, the functor $N : prec(\mathscr{A}) \to Ch_{\geqslant 0}(\mathscr{A})$, respectively the functors I, $J : pres(\mathscr{A}) \to Ch_{\geqslant 0}(\mathscr{A})$ extend in an obvious way to

$$aN : aprec(\mathscr{A}) \to aCh_{\geqslant 0}(\mathscr{A}),$$

respectively

$$aI, aJ : apres(\mathscr{A}) \to aCh_{\geqslant 0}(\mathscr{A}).$$

Let $\mathscr{A}$ be an abelian category. Using the shifting functor

$$sh : aCh_{\geqslant 0}(\mathscr{A}) \to Ch_{\geqslant 0}(\mathscr{A})$$

(assigning to $X = (\ldots \to X_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} X_{n-1} \to \ldots \to X_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} X_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} A)$ the nonnegative chain complex $sh(X)$ defined by $sh(X)_0 = A$, $sh(X)_n = X_{n-1}$, $sh(\partial)_n = \partial_{n-1}$, $n \geqslant 1$), we get functors

$$\widehat{I} = sh \circ aI : apres(\mathscr{A}) \to Ch_{\geqslant 0}(\mathscr{A})$$

and

$$\widehat{J} = sh \circ aJ : apres(\mathscr{A}) \to Ch_{\geqslant 0}(\mathscr{A})$$

the restrictions of which to the category of augmented pseudosimplicial objects are used in the next section.

Next recall [12] the definition of the homotopy groups of a Kan cubical set.

A cubical set X is said to be Kan if for any $n \geqslant 1$, any $(i,\alpha) \in \{1,\dots,n\} \times \{0,1\}$ and any collection of $2n-1$ elements $x_j^\varepsilon \in X_{n-1}$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$, $(j,\varepsilon) \neq (i,\alpha)$, satisfying

$$\partial_j^\varepsilon x_k^\omega = \partial_{k-1}^\omega x_j^\varepsilon, \;\; 1 \leqslant j < k \leqslant n, \;\; \varepsilon,\omega \in \{0,1\}, \;\; (j,\varepsilon) \neq (i,\alpha), \;\; (k,\omega) \neq (i,\alpha)$$

there exists $x \in X_n$ such that

$$\partial_j^\varepsilon x = x_j^\varepsilon, \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n, \quad \varepsilon \in \{0,1\}, \quad (j,\varepsilon) \neq (i,\alpha).$$

Let X be a cubical set, $z \in X_n$ and $x_j^\varepsilon \in X_{n-1}$, $n \geqslant 1$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$. We write

$$\partial z = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^0 & x_2^0 & \cdots & x_n^0 \\ x_1^1 & x_2^1 & \cdots & x_n^1 \end{pmatrix}$$

iff $\partial_j^\varepsilon z = x_j^\varepsilon$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$.

Suppose now that (X,ψ) is a Kan cubical set with a basepoint ψ. Let

$$\widetilde{X}_0 = X_0 \;\text{ and }\; \widetilde{X}_n = \left\{ x \in X_n \,\middle|\, \partial x = \begin{pmatrix} \psi & \cdots & \psi \\ \psi & \cdots & \psi \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad n > 0,$$

and define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\widetilde{X}_n$ $(n \geqslant 0)$ by

$$x \sim y \;\text{ iff }\; \exists\, z \in X_{n+1} \;\text{ such that }\; \partial z = \begin{pmatrix} x & \psi & \cdots & \psi \\ y & \psi & \cdots & \psi \end{pmatrix},$$

or equivalently, by

$$x \sim y \;\text{ iff }\; \exists\, w \in X_{n+1} \;\text{ such that }\; \partial w = \begin{pmatrix} \psi & \cdots & \psi & x \\ \psi & \cdots & \psi & y \end{pmatrix}$$

[12, Theorem 6]. Denote $\pi_n(X,\psi) = \widetilde{X}_n/\sim$, $n \geqslant 0$.

Let $[x],[y] \in \pi_n(X,\psi)$ $([x] = cl_\sim(x))$, $n \geqslant 1$. Since X is Kan, there is $z \in X_{n+1}$ with

$$\partial z = \begin{pmatrix} x & \psi & \psi & \cdots & \psi \\ \partial_1^1 z & y & \psi & \cdots & \psi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, $\partial_1^1 z \in \widetilde{X}_n$. Define $[x] \bullet [y] = [\partial_1^1 z]$. This definition depends only on the equivalence classes of x and y and, with this multiplication, $\pi_n(X,\psi)$, $n \geqslant 1$, is a group, called the n-th homotopy group of (X,ψ).

Finally let us note that in the text we will freely make use of the Freyd-Mitchell embedding theorem (see e. g. [20, p.25]) when applying various results in the literature about modules to objects in general abelian categories.

2. MOORE CHAIN COMPLEX

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a cubical group and define*

$$M_0(G) = G_0, \quad M_n(G) = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Ker} \partial_i^1 \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{Ker} \partial_i^0 \right), \quad n > 0.$$

Then:

(a) $\partial_{n+1}^0(M_{n+1}(G)) \subset M_n(G)$, $n \geqslant 0$.

(b) $\operatorname{Im}\left(M_{n+1}(G) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}^0} M_n(G) \right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}\left(M_n(G) \xrightarrow{\partial_n^0} M_{n-1}(G) \right)$, $n > 0$.

(c) $\partial_{n+1}^0(M_{n+1}(G))$ *is a normal subgroup of* $M_n(G)$ *and of* G_n, $n \geqslant 0$.

Proof. Let $x \in M_{n+1}(G)$. By definition

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i^1\, \partial_{n+1}^0 x &= \partial_n^0\, \partial_i^1 x = 1, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, \\ \partial_i^0\, \partial_{n+1}^0 x &= \partial_n^0\, \partial_i^0 x = 1, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\partial_{n+1}^0 x \in M_n(G)$. Hence (a) is proved.

Let $x \in M_{n+1}(G)$. Then $\partial_n^0 x = 1$. Using this we get $\partial_n^0\, \partial_{n+1}^0 x = \partial_n^0\, \partial_n^0 x = 1$. Hence (b) is proved.

Suppose $y \in M_{n+1}(G)$ and $z \in G_n$. Obviously one has $s_{n+1}z \cdot y \cdot s_{n+1}z^{-1} \in M_{n+1}(G)$ and $\partial_{n+1}^0(s_{n+1}z \cdot y \cdot s_{n+1}z^{-1}) = z \cdot \partial_{n+1}^0 y \cdot z^{-1}$. This proves (c). □

Thus, for any cubical group G,

$$M(G) = (\ldots \to M_n(G) \xrightarrow{\partial_n^0} M_{n-1}(G) \to \ldots \to M_1(G) \xrightarrow{\partial_1^0} M_0(G) \to 1)$$

is a chain complex of (not necessarily abelian) groups. We call $M(G)$ the Moore chain complex of G. It is obvious that $M(G)$ and its homology groups $H_n(M(G)) = \operatorname{Ker} \partial_n^0 / \operatorname{Im} \partial_{n+1}^0$ functorially depend on G.

Obviously, in the construction of $M(G)$ one may replace G by a precubical object X in an abelian category (in [16] $M(G)$ is introduced for cubical objects in an abelian category). In this case we redefine the differential ∂ on $M(X)$ by $\partial = (-1)^{n+1}\partial_n^0$. Then $M(X)$ is a chain subcomplex of $N(X)$ and one has a natural monomorphism $i : M(X) \to N(X)$.

Now let us introduce pseudocubical objects and pseudocubical objects with pseudoconnections.

Definition 2.2. A pseudocubical object X in a category $\mathscr{A}$ is a family of objects $(X_n \in \mathscr{A})_{n \geqslant 0}$ together with face $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms

$$\partial_i^0, \partial_i^1 : X_n \to X_{n-1} \;\; (1 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$$

and pseudodegeneracy $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms

$$s_i : X_{n-1} \to X_n \;\; (1 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$$

satisfying

$$\partial_i^\alpha \partial_j^\varepsilon = \partial_{j-1}^\varepsilon \partial_i^\alpha \qquad i < j, \quad \alpha, \varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$$

and

$$\partial_i^\alpha s_j = \begin{cases} s_{j-1}\partial_i^\alpha & i < j, \\ \mathrm{id} & i = j, \\ s_j \partial_{i-1}^\alpha & i > j, \end{cases}$$

for $\alpha \in \{0,1\}$.

Definition 2.3. We say that a pseudocubical object X in a category $\mathscr{A}$ has pseudoconnections if there are given $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms

$$\Gamma_i : X_n \to X_{n+1} \;\; (1 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$$

which satisfy

$$\partial_i^\alpha \Gamma_j = \begin{cases} \Gamma_{j-1}\partial_i^\alpha & i < j, \;\; \alpha \in \{0,1\}, \\ \mathrm{id} & i = j, \; j+1, \;\; \alpha = 0, \\ s_j \partial_j^\alpha & i = j, \; j+1, \;\; \alpha = 1, \\ \Gamma_j \partial_{i-1}^\alpha & i > j+1, \;\; \alpha \in \{0,1\}. \end{cases}$$

Morphisms of pseudocubical objects and pseudocubical objects with pseudoconnections are defined in an obvious way, just as for cubical objects and cubical objects with connections.

For a category $\mathscr{A}$, let us denote by $pcpc(\mathscr{A})$ the category of pseudocubical objects with pseudoconnections in $\mathscr{A}$.

Let $\mathscr{A}$ be an abelian category. Define the functor

$$\overline{N} : pcpc(\mathscr{A}) \to aps(\mathscr{A})$$

as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{N}(X)_{-1} = X_0,\ \overline{N}(X)_n &= \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} \operatorname{Ker}(\partial_i^1 : X_{n+1} \to X_n), \quad n \geqslant 0,\\
\varepsilon = \partial_1^0|_{\overline{N}(X)_0} &: \overline{N}(X)_0 \to \overline{N}(X)_{-1},\\
\partial_i = \partial_{i+1}^0|_{\overline{N}(X)_n} &: \overline{N}(X)_n \to \overline{N}(X)_{n-1}, \quad n \geqslant 1, \quad 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n,\\
s_j = \Gamma_{j+1}|_{\overline{N}(X)_{n-1}} &: \overline{N}(X)_{n-1} \to \overline{N}(X)_n, \quad n \geqslant 1, \quad 0 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1,\\
\overline{N}(f : X \to Y)_n &= f_{n+1}|_{\overline{N}(X)_n}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have the functors $\widehat{I}$ and $\widehat{J}$ from $aps(\mathscr{A})$ to $Ch_{\geqslant 0}(\mathscr{A})$. One can easily see that

$$\widehat{I} \circ \overline{N} = M \text{ and } \widehat{J} \circ \overline{N} = N.$$

Let G be a simplicial object in an abelian category $\mathscr{A}$. Then the normalized chain complex $I(G)$ of G is a chain subcomplex of the unnormalized chain complex $J(G)$ of G. The Moore theorem says that this inclusion is a chain homotopy equivalence. The proof of this theorem [15, p.94] does not use the simplicial identity: $s_i s_j = s_{j+1} s_i$, $i \leqslant j$. Therefore one may assert that for any augmented pseudosimplicial object S in an abelian category $\mathscr{A}$, the natural monomorphism $\widehat{I}(S) \to \widehat{J}(S)$ is a chain homotopy equivalence. Replacing now S by $\overline{N}(X)$, where X is a pseudocubical object with pseudoconnections in $\mathscr{A}$, and using $\widehat{I} \circ \overline{N} = M$ and $\widehat{J} \circ \overline{N} = N$, we get a cubical analog of the Moore theorem:

Theorem 2.4. *Let X be a pseudocubical object with pseudoconnections in an abelian category. Then the natural monomorphism $i : M(X) \to N(X)$ is a chain homotopy equivalence and, therefore, $i_* : H_n(M(X)) \to H_n(N(X))$ is an isomorphism for all $n \geqslant 0$.* □

In fact $i : M(X) \to N(X)$ has a natural homotopy inverse $r : N(X) \to M(X)$ with $ri = \mathrm{id}_{M(X)}$ (see the proof of Theorem 22.1 of [15]).

Note that the natural monomorphism $N(X) \hookrightarrow C(X)$ need not be a chain homotopy equivalence for a cubical object X with connections in an abelian category.

As is known the prime examples of pseudosimplicial objects are the projective resolutions used by Tierney and Vogel to define their derived functors. In the next section we construct cubical analogs of Tierney-Vogel's projective resolutions (in order to define our cubical derived functors) and show that they have pseudodegeneracies and pseudoconnections.

The material in the rest of this section is not needed in what follows; however we believe it is interesting for its own sake.

Suppose that X is a cubical object with connections in an abelian category $\mathscr{A}$. Then $\overline{N}(X)$ is an augmented simplicial object. Denote by $F(X)$ the chain subcomplex of $N(X)$ generated by the images of the degeneracies of $\overline{N}(X)$. Since the degeneracy morphisms of $\overline{N}(X)$ are defined by $s_j = \Gamma_{j+1}|_{\overline{N}(X)_{n-1}}$, $n \geqslant 1$, $0 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$, one has

$$F(X)_n = \Gamma_1(N(X)_{n-1}) + \cdots + \Gamma_{n-1}(N(X)_{n-1}) \quad \text{for all} \quad n \geqslant 2.$$

Besides, $F(X)_0 = 0$ and $F(X)_1 = 0$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let X be a cubical object with connections in an abelian category $\mathscr{A}$. Then:*

(a) *$N(X)=M(X)\oplus F(X)$ and hence $M(X)$ is isomorphic to $N(X)/F(X)$.*
(b) *The canonical projection $\pi: N(X)\to N(X)/F(X)$ is a chain homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. As $\overline{N}(X)$ is an augmented simplicial object, one has

$$\widehat{J}(\overline{N}(X))=\widehat{I}(\overline{N}(X))\oplus D(\overline{N}(X))$$

(where $D(\overline{N}(X))$ is the chain subcomplex of $\widehat{J}(\overline{N}(X))$ generated by the degenerate elements of $\overline{N}(X)$), and the canonical projection

$$\widehat{J}(\overline{N}(X))\to \widehat{J}(\overline{N}(X))/D(\overline{N}(X))$$

is a chain homotopy equivalence (see Corollary 22.2 and 22.3 of [15]). But $\widehat{J}(\overline{N}(X))=N(X)$, $\widehat{I}(\overline{N}(X))=M(X)$ and $D(\overline{N}(X))=F(X)$. Hence (a) and (b) hold. □

Suppose X is a cubical set with connections. An n-cube $x\ \epsilon\ X_n$ is said to be folded if there exists $y\ \epsilon\ X_{n-1}$ such that $\Gamma_i y=x$ for some $i\ \epsilon\ \{1,...,n-1\}$ (see [1]). When we define the singular cubical homology $H_*(T)$ of a topological space T, it is necessary to factor out the degenerate singular cubes. It easily follows from Theorem 2.5 that the folded singular cubes can be ignored in addition when we calculate $H_*(T)$.

Let $\mathscr{A}$ be an abelian category. Restricting M and $\overline{N}$ to the category $cc(\mathscr{A})$, and $\widehat{I}$ to the category $as(\mathscr{A})$, we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} cc(\mathscr{A}) \arrow[rr, "M"] \arrow[dr, "\overline{N}"'] & & Ch_{\geqslant 0}(\mathscr{A}) \\ & as(\mathscr{A}) \arrow[ur, "\widehat{I}"'] & \end{tikzcd}$$

in which, by the Dold-Kan Theorem, $\widehat{I}$ is an equivalence of categories. Moreover, the functor $\overline{N}: cc(\mathscr{A})\to as(\mathscr{A})$ is also an equivalence. The proof is very similar to the proof of the Dold-Kan Theorem [20, p.270]. Thus we have got an alternative proof of the result by Brown and Higgins [5] about the equivalence of the categories $cc(\mathscr{A})$ and $Ch_{\geqslant 0}(\mathscr{A})$. Furthermore, we have pointed out the functor $M: cc(\mathscr{A})\to Ch_{\geqslant 0}(\mathscr{A})$ which realizes this equivalence.

In what follows, for pointed Kan cubical monoids G, we will always take the unit 1 of G_0 as basepoint, and denote $\pi_n(G,1)$ by $\pi_n(G)$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let G be a Kan cubical monoid and suppose that $n\geqslant 1$. Then:*
(a) For any $x,y\in\widetilde{G}_n$, $[x]\bullet[y]=[xy]$.
(b) $\pi_n(G)$ is abelian.

To prove this proposition, we need the following well known

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\bullet$ and $*$ be binary operations on a set E. Assume that they have units and satisfy*

$$(a\bullet b)*(a'\bullet b')=(a*a')\bullet(b*b')$$

for all $a,a',b,b'\in E$. Then these operations coincide and $(E,\bullet)$ is an abelian monoid. □

Proof of Proposition 2.6. (cf. the proofs of Propositions 17.2 and 17.3 of [15]). Using the monoid structure on G, a binary operation may be defined on $\pi_n(G)$ by $[x]*[y]=[xy]$. This is well-defined. Indeed, if $x,y\in\widetilde{G}_n$ then $xy\in\widetilde{G}_n$, and if $x\sim x'$ and $y\sim y'$, $x,x',y,y'\in\widetilde{G}_n$, i. e.,

$$\partial z_1=\begin{pmatrix} x & 1 & \dots & 1\\ x' & 1 & \dots & 1\end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \partial z_2=\begin{pmatrix} y & 1 & \dots & 1\\ y' & 1 & \dots & 1\end{pmatrix},\quad z_1,z_2\in G_{n+1},$$

then

$$\partial(z_1z_2)=\begin{pmatrix} xy & 1 & \dots & 1\\ x'y' & 1 & \dots & 1\end{pmatrix},$$

i.e., $xy \sim x'y'$. Next, assume that $[x],[y],[u],[v] \in \pi_n(G)$. By the Kan condition, there are $w_1, w_2 \in G_{n+1}$ with

$$\partial w_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \partial_1^1 w_1 & y & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \partial w_2 = \begin{pmatrix} u & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \partial_1^1 w_2 & v & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

whence

$$\partial(w_1 w_2) = \begin{pmatrix} xu & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \partial_1^1 w_1 \cdot \partial_1^1 w_2 & yv & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, by the definitions of $\bullet$ and $*$, we can write

$$([x] \bullet [y]) * ([u] \bullet [v]) = [\partial_1^1 w_1] * [\partial_1^1 w_2] [\partial_1^1 w_1 \cdot \partial_1^1 w_2] =$$
$$= [xu] \bullet [yv] = ([x] * [u]) \bullet ([y] * [v]) .$$

Besides, $[x] \bullet [1] = [x] = [1] \bullet [x]$ and $[x] * [1] = [x] = [1] * [x]$. Consequently, we conclude, by Lemma 2.7, that $\bullet$ and $*$ coincide and $\pi_n(G)$ is abelian. □

Proposition 2.8. *For any* Kan *cubical group G,*

$$\pi_n(G) = H_n(M(G)),\ n \geqslant 0.$$

Proof. Let us use the following notations:

$$Z_0 M(G) = M_0(G),\ Z_n M(G) = \operatorname{Ker}\left(M_n(G) \xrightarrow{\partial_n^0} M_{n-1}(G) \right),\ n > 0,$$

$$B_n M(G) = \operatorname{Im}\left(M_{n+1}(G) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}^0} M_n(G) \right),\ n \geqslant 0.$$

It is evident that $Z_n M(G) = \widetilde{G}_n$ for all $n \geqslant 0$. Therefore, in view of Proposition 2.6, it suffices to show that $x \sim y$ if and only if $xy^{-1} \in B_n M(G)$, $x, y \in \widetilde{G}_n = Z_n M(G)$. Suppose that $x, y \in \widetilde{G}_n$ and $x \sim y$. Then

$$\partial z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 & x \\ 1 & \dots & 1 & y \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $z \in G_{n+1}$. Let $u = z \cdot s_{n+1}\, y^{-1}$. One can easily check that

$$\partial u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 & xy^{-1} \\ 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence $u \in M_{n+1}(G)$ and $\partial_{n+1}^0 u = xy^{-1}$. That is $xy^{-1} \in B_n M(G)$. Conversely, assume that $x, y \in Z_n M(G)$ and $xy^{-1} \in B_n M(G)$. Then $\partial_{n+1}^0 w = xy^{-1}$ for some $w \in M_{n+1}(G)$. Clearly,

$$\partial w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 & xy^{-1} \\ 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This gives $[x] * [y^{-1}] = [xy^{-1}] = [1]$, whence $[x] = [y]$, i. e., $x \sim y$. □

Any cubical group with connections is Kan [19]. Combining this with Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 2.8, we get

Corollary 2.9. *For any cubical abelian group G with connections, $\pi_n(G)$ is naturally isomorphic to $H_n(N(G))$ for all $n \geqslant 0$.* □

3. CUBICAL DERIVED FUNCTORS

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a category and

$$f_1^0, \dots, f_n^0, f_1^1, \dots, f_n^1 : A \to B$$

a sequence of $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms, $n \geqslant 1$. A cubical kernel of the sequence $(f_1^0, \dots, f_n^0, f_1^1, \dots, f_n^1)$ is a sequence

$$k_1^0, \dots, k_n^0, k_{n+1}^0, k_1^1, \dots, k_n^1, k_{n+1}^1 : K \to A$$

of $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms such that

(i) $f_i^\omega k_j^\alpha = f_{j-1}^\alpha k_i^\omega$ for $1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n+1$, $\omega, \alpha \in \{0,1\}$;

(ii) if $h_1^0, \dots, h_n^0, h_{n+1}^0, h_1^1, \dots, h_n^1, h_{n+1}^1 : D \to A$ is any other sequence satisfying identities $f_i^\omega h_j^\alpha = f_{j-1}^\alpha h_i^\omega$ for $1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n+1$, $\omega, \alpha \in \{0,1\}$, then there exists a unique $\mathscr{A}$-morphism $h : D \to K$ with $k_i^\omega h = h_i^\omega$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$, $\omega \in \{0,1\}$.

It immediately follows from the definition that cubical kernels are unique up to isomorphism if they exist.

Suppose $\mathscr{A}$ has finite limits and let $A \underset{f_1^1}{\overset{f_1^0}{\rightrightarrows}} B$ be a pair of $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B & A \arrow[l, "f_1^1"'] \arrow[r, "f_1^0"] & B \\
A \arrow[u, "f_1^0"] \arrow[d, "f_1^1"'] & & A \arrow[u, "f_1^0"'] \arrow[d, "f_1^1"] \\
B & A \arrow[l, "f_1^1"'] \arrow[r, "f_1^0"] & B.
\end{tikzcd}$$

By assumption, we have the limit diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B & A \arrow[l, "f_1^1"'] \arrow[r, "f_1^0"] & B \\
A \arrow[u, "f_1^0"] \arrow[d, "f_1^1"'] & K \arrow[l, "k_2^1"'] \arrow[r, "k_2^0"] \arrow[u, "k_1^0"] \arrow[d, "k_1^1"'] & A \arrow[u, "f_1^0"'] \arrow[d, "f_1^1"] \\
B & A \arrow[l, "f_1^1"'] \arrow[r, "f_1^0"] & B,
\end{tikzcd}$$

i.e. the sequence $k_1^0, k_2^0, k_1^1, k_2^1 : K \to A$ is a cubical kernel of the pair

$$A \underset{f_1^1}{\overset{f_1^0}{\rightrightarrows}} B\ .$$

Clearly, in fact, one has

Proposition 3.2. *If $\mathscr{A}$ admits finite limits, then cubical kernels exist in $\mathscr{A}$ for any sequence $(f_1^0, \dots, f_n^0, f_1^1, \dots, f_n^1)$ and any $n \geqslant 1$.* □

Let $\mathscr{P}$ be a class of objects of $\mathscr{A}$. Recall [7] that an $\mathscr{A}$-morphism $f : A \to A'$ is said to be $\mathscr{P}$-epimorphic iff

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(Q, f) : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(Q, A) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(Q, A')$$

is surjective for all $Q \in \mathscr{P}$. Also recall that $\mathscr{P}$ is called a projective class if for each $A \in \mathscr{A}$ there exists a $\mathscr{P}$-epimorphism $e : Q \to A$ with $Q \in \mathscr{P}$.

Let $\mathscr{P}$ be a projective class in $\mathscr{A}$, $X \xrightarrow{\partial} A$ an augmented precubical object over $A \in \mathscr{A}$, and suppose that $\mathscr{A}$ has finite limits. By Proposition 3.2, we have a factorization

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X : & \cdots\ X_{n+1} \arrow[rr, shift left=2, "\partial_1^0"] \arrow[rr, shift right=2, "\partial_{n+1}^1"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, "\vdots"] \arrow[dr, "e_{n+1}"'] & & X_n \arrow[rr, shift left=2, "\partial_1^0"] \arrow[rr, shift right=2, "\partial_n^1"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, "\vdots"] \arrow[dr, "e_n"'] & & X_{n-1} & \cdots\ X_1 \arrow[rr, shift left=1, "\partial_1^0"] \arrow[rr, shift right=1, "\partial_1^1"'] \arrow[dr, "e_1"'] & & X_0 \arrow[r, "\partial"] & A \\
& & K_{n+1} \arrow[ur, shift left=2, "k_1^0"] \arrow[ur, shift right=2, "k_{n+1}^1"'] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\ddots"] & & K_n \arrow[ur, shift left=2, "k_1^0"] \arrow[ur, shift right=2, "k_n^1"'] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\ddots"] & & & K_1 \arrow[ur, shift left=1, "k_1^0"] \arrow[ur, shift right=1, "k_1^1"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $K_1 \overset{k_1^0}{\underset{k_1^1}{\rightrightarrows}} X_0$ is a kernel pair of $X_0 \xrightarrow{\partial} A$, and

$$k_1^0, \dots, k_n^0, k_1^1, \dots, k_n^1 : K_n \to X_{n-1}$$

a cubical kernel of $(\partial_1^0, \dots, \partial_{n-1}^0, \partial_1^1, \dots, \partial_{n-1}^1)$ for $n \geqslant 2$. We say that

1) $X \xrightarrow{\partial} A$ is $\mathscr{P}$-projective iff each $X_n \in \mathscr{P}$;
2) $X \xrightarrow{\partial} A$ is $\mathscr{P}$-exact iff ∂ and e_n $(n \geqslant 1)$ are $\mathscr{P}$-epimorphic;
3) $X \xrightarrow{\partial} A$ is $\mathscr{P}$-projective resolution of A iff it is $\mathscr{P}$-projective and $\mathscr{P}$-exact.

Obviously, if $\mathscr{A}$ is a category with finite limits and a projective class $\mathscr{P}$, then each $A \in \mathscr{A}$ has a $\mathscr{P}$-projective resolution. Moreover, the following comparison theorem shows that such a resolution is unique up to precubical homotopy equivalence.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $X \xrightarrow{\partial} A$ be $\mathscr{P}$-projective and $X' \xrightarrow{\partial'} A'$ be $\mathscr{P}$-exact. Then any $\mathscr{A}$-morphism $f : A \to A'$ can be extended to a precubical morphism*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "\partial"] \arrow[d, "\overline{f}"'] & A \arrow[d, "f"] \\
X' \arrow[r, "\partial'"] & A'
\end{tikzcd}$$

over f (i. e., $\overline{f}$ and f form a morphism of augmented precubical objects). Furthermore, any two such extensions are precubically homotopic. That is, if $\overline{f}, \overline{g} : X \to X'$ are two extensions of f, then there exist $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms $h_n : X_n \to X'_{n+1}$, $n \geqslant 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_1^0 h_n = f_n, \quad \partial_1^1 h_n = g_n, \quad n \geqslant 0,\\
&\partial_i^\varepsilon h_n = h_{n-1}\partial_{i-1}^\varepsilon, \ \ n \geqslant 1, \ \ 1 < i \leqslant n+1, \ \ \varepsilon \in \{0,1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We construct the extension $\overline{f} = (f_n : X_n \to X'_n)$ and show its uniqness up to precubical homotopy by induction on n. Since X_0 is $\mathscr{P}$-projective and $\partial' : X'_0 \to A'$ is $\mathscr{P}$-epimorphic, there is $f_0 : X_0 \to X'_0$ with $\partial' f_0 = f\partial$. Next, one has $\partial' f_0 \partial_1^0 = f\partial\partial_1^0 = f\partial\partial_1^1 = \partial' f_0 \partial_1^1$. Therefore $f_0\partial_1^0 = k_1^0\varphi_1$ and $f_0\partial_1^1 = k_1^1\varphi_1$ for a uniquely defined $\varphi_1 : X_1 \to K'_1$. As X_1 is $\mathscr{P}$-projective and $e_1 : X'_1 \to K'_1$ is $\mathscr{P}$-epimorphic, there exists $f_1 : X_1 \to X'_1$ with $e_1 f_1 = \varphi_1$, and we have $\partial_1^0 f_1 = k_1^0 e_1 f_1 = k_1^0 \varphi_1 = f_0\partial_1^0$ and $\partial_1^1 f_1 = k_1^1 e_1 f_1 = k_1^1\varphi_1 = f_0\partial_1^1$. Thus f_0 and f_1 are constructed. Inductively, suppose given $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms $f_r : X_r \to X'_r$ for $r \leqslant n$ so that $\partial_i^\omega f_r = f_{r-1}\partial_i^\omega$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$, $\omega \in \{0,1\}$. Then $\partial_i^\omega f_n \partial_j^\alpha = f_{n-1}\partial_i^\omega\partial_j^\alpha = f_{n-1}\partial_{j-1}^\alpha\partial_i^\omega = \partial_{j-1}^\alpha f_n \partial_i^\omega$, $1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n+1$, $\omega, \alpha \in \{0,1\}$. Hence there is a unique $\varphi_{n+1} : X_{n+1} \to K'_{n+1}$ such that $k_i^\omega \varphi_{n+1} = f_n \partial_i^\omega$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$, $\omega \in \{0,1\}$. Since X_{n+1} is $\mathscr{P}$-projective and $e_{n+1} : X'_{n+1} \to K'_{n+1}$ is $\mathscr{P}$-epimorphic, there exists $f_{n+1} : X_{n+1} \to X'_{n+1}$ with $e_{n+1}f_{n+1} = \varphi_{n+1}$. Then we have $\partial_i^\omega f_{n+1} = k_i^\omega e_{n+1} f_{n+1} = k_i^\omega \varphi_{n+1} = f_n \partial_i^\omega$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$, $\omega \in \{0,1\}$. This completes the inductive step and proves the existence of $\overline{f}$.

Now suppose $\overline{g} = (g_n : X_n \to X'_n)$ is another extension of $f : A \to A'$. We want to construct $h = (h_n : X_n \to X'_{n+1})$ with $\partial_1^0 h_n = f_n$, $\partial_1^1 h_n = g_n$, $n \geqslant 0$, and $\partial_i^\varepsilon h_n = h_{n-1}\partial_{i-1}^\varepsilon$, $1 < i \leqslant n+1$,

$\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$. For $n = 0$ consider diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & X_0 \arrow[r, "\partial"] \arrow[dll, dashed, "h_0"'] \arrow[ddl, dashed, "q_0"'] \arrow[d, shift right, "f_0"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "g_0"] & A \arrow[d, "f"] \\
X'_1 \arrow[dr, "e_1"'] & & X'_0 \arrow[r, "\partial'"] & A' \; . \\
 & K'_1 \arrow[ur, shift left, "k_1^0"] \arrow[ur, shift right, "k_1^1"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

As $\partial' f_0 = \partial' g_0$, there exists $q_0 : X_0 \to K'_1$ such that $k_1^0 q_0 = f_0$ and $k_1^1 q_0 = g_0$. Next, since X_0 is $\mathscr{P}$-projective and e_1 is $\mathscr{P}$-epimorphic, there is $h_0 : X_0 \to X'_1$ with $e_1 h_0 = q_0$. This and the two previous equalities give $\partial_1^0 h_0 = k_1^0 e_1 h_0 = k_1^0 q_0 = f_0$ and $\partial_1^1 h_0 = k_1^1 e_1 h_0 = k_1^1 q_0 = g_0$. Thus h_0 is constructed. Inductively, suppose given $h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}$ with the required properties.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & X_n \arrow[r, shift left=2, "\partial_1^0"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\vdots"] \arrow[r, shift right=2, "\partial_n^1"'] \arrow[dll, dashed, "h_n"'] \arrow[ddl, dashed, "q_n"'] \arrow[d, shift right, "f_n"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "g_n"] & X_{n-1} \arrow[dl, "h_{n-1}"'] \arrow[d, shift right, "f_{n-1}"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "g_{n-1}"] \\
X'_{n+1} \arrow[dr, "e_{n+1}"'] & & X'_n \arrow[r, shift left=2, "\partial_1^0"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\vdots"] \arrow[r, shift right=2, "\partial_n^1"'] & X'_{n-1} \; . \\
 & K'_{n+1} \arrow[ur, shift left=2, "k_1^0"] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\ddots"] \arrow[ur, shift right=2, "k_{n+1}^1"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Define $\psi_i^\varepsilon : X_n \to X'_n$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$, $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$, as follows:

$$\psi_1^0 = f_n, \;\; \psi_1^1 = g_n, \;\; \psi_i^\varepsilon = h_{n-1}\partial_{i-1}^\varepsilon, \;\; 1 < i \leqslant n+1, \;\; \varepsilon \in \{0,1\}.$$

By the induction assumption,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_1^0 \psi_j^\varepsilon = \partial_1^0 h_{n-1} \partial_{j-1}^\varepsilon = f_{n-1}\partial_{j-1}^\varepsilon \partial_{j-1}^\varepsilon f_n = \partial_{j-1}^\varepsilon \psi_1^0, \\
&\qquad 1 < j \leqslant n+1, \quad \varepsilon \in \{0,1\}, \quad n \geqslant 1, \\
&\partial_1^1 \psi_j^\varepsilon = \partial_1^1 h_{n-1} \partial_{j-1}^\varepsilon = g_{n-1}\partial_{j-1}^\varepsilon \partial_{j-1}^\varepsilon g_n = \partial_{j-1}^\varepsilon \psi_1^1, \\
&\qquad 1 < j \leqslant n+1, \quad \varepsilon \in \{0,1\}, \quad n \geqslant 1, \\
&\partial_i^\alpha \psi_j^\varepsilon = \partial_i^\alpha h_{n-1} \partial_{j-1}^\varepsilon = h_{n-2}\partial_{i-1}^\alpha \partial_{j-1}^\varepsilon = h_{n-2}\partial_{j-2}^\varepsilon \partial_{i-1}^\alpha = \partial_{j-1}^\varepsilon h_{n-1} \partial_{i-1}^\alpha \\
&\qquad = \partial_{j-1}^\varepsilon \psi_i^\alpha, \quad 1 < i < j \leqslant n+1, \quad \alpha, \varepsilon \in \{0,1\}, \quad n > 1.
\end{aligned}$$

That is $\partial_i^\alpha \psi_j^\varepsilon = \partial_{j-1}^\varepsilon \psi_i^\alpha$ for $1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n+1$, $\alpha, \varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$. Therefore $k_i^\varepsilon q_n = \psi_i^\varepsilon$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$, $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$, for a uniquely defined $q_n : X_n \to K'_{n+1}$. Since X_n is $\mathscr{P}$-projective and e_{n+1} is $\mathscr{P}$-epimorphic, there is $h_n : X_n \to X'_{n+1}$ with $e_{n+1} h_n = q_n$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_1^0 h_n = k_1^0 e_{n+1} h_n = k_1^0 q_n = \psi_1^0 = f_n, \\
&\partial_1^1 h_n = k_1^1 e_{n+1} h_n = k_1^1 q_n = \psi_1^1 = g_n, \\
&\partial_i^\varepsilon h_n = k_i^\varepsilon e_{n+1} h_n = k_i^\varepsilon q_n = \psi_i^\varepsilon = h_{n-1}\partial_{i-1}^\varepsilon, \\
&\qquad 1 < i \leqslant n+1, \quad \varepsilon \in \{0,1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the inductive step and completes the proof of the theorem. □

The following theorem is crucial for constructing our cubical derived functors.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P \colon & \cdots & P_{n+1} \arrow[rr, shift left=2, "\partial_1^0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, "\vdots"] \arrow[rr, shift right=2, "\partial_{n+1}^1"'] \arrow[dr, "e_{n+1}"'] & & P_n \arrow[rr, shift left=2, "\partial_1^0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, "\vdots"] \arrow[rr, shift right=2, "\partial_n^1"'] \arrow[dr, "e_n"'] & & P_{n-1} & \cdots & P_1 \arrow[rr, shift left, "\partial_1^0"] \arrow[rr, shift right, "\partial_1^1"'] \arrow[dr, "e_1"'] & & P_0 \arrow[r, "\partial"] & A \\
& & & K_{n+1} \arrow[ur, shift left=2, "k_1^0"] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\cdot\cdot"] \arrow[ur, shift right=2, "k_{n+1}^1"'] & & K_n \arrow[ur, shift left=2, "k_1^0"] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\cdot\cdot"] \arrow[ur, shift right=2, "k_n^1"'] & & & & K_1 \arrow[ur, shift left, "k_1^0"] \arrow[ur, shift right, "k_1^1"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

is a $\mathscr{P}$*-projective resolution of* $A \in \mathscr{A}$*. Then:*

(a) *P has pseudodegeneracy operators, i.e., there exist* $s_i : P_n \to P_{n+1},\ n \geqslant 0,\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$, *satisfying*

$$\partial_i^\alpha s_j = \begin{cases} s_{j-1}\partial_i^\alpha & i < j, \\ id & i = j, \\ s_j \partial_{i-1}^\alpha & i > j, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha \in \{0,1\}$.

(b) *For any pseudodegeneracy operators* $s_i : P_n \to P_{n+1}$, $n \geqslant 0$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$, *of* P, *there exist* $\Gamma_i : P_n \to P_{n+1}$, $n \geqslant 1$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, *satisfying*

$$\partial_i^\alpha \Gamma_j = \begin{cases} \Gamma_{j-1}\partial_i^\alpha & i < j, \ \ \alpha \in \{0,1\}, \\ id & i = j,\ j+1, \ \ \alpha = 0, \\ s_j \partial_j^\alpha & i = j,\ j+1, \ \ \alpha = 1, \\ \Gamma_j \partial_{i-1}^\alpha & i > j+1, \ \ \alpha \in \{0,1\}. \end{cases}$$

As an immediate consequence we have

Corollary 3.5. *Any* $\mathscr{P}$*-projective resolution* $P \xrightarrow{\partial} A$ *is an augmented pseudocubical object with pseudoconnections.* □

Proof of Theorem 3.4. (a) As $K_1 \overset{k_1^0}{\underset{k_1^1}{\rightrightarrows}} P_0$ is a kernel pair of $P_0 \xrightarrow{\partial} A$, there is $\varphi_1 : P_0 \to K_1$ such that $k_1^0\varphi_1 = \mathrm{id}$ and $k_1^1\varphi_1 = \mathrm{id}$. On the other hand, since P_0 is $\mathscr{P}$-projective and e_1 is $\mathscr{P}$-epimorphic, $e_1 s_1 = \varphi_1$ for some $s_1 : P_0 \to P_1$ and we have $\partial_1^0 s_1 = k_1^0 e_1 s_1 = k_1^0\varphi_1 = \mathrm{id}$ and $\partial_1^1 s_1 = k_1^1 e_1 s_1 = k_1^1 \varphi_1 = \mathrm{id}$. Thus $s_1 : P_0 \to P_1$ is constructed. Inductively, suppose given $s_1 : P_0 \to P_1$, $s_1, s_2 : P_1 \to P_2, \ldots, s_1, \ldots, s_n : P_{n-1} \to P_n$ with the required properties. Fix j, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n+1$, and define $\psi_{ij}^\varepsilon : P_n \to P_n$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$, $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$, by

$$\psi_{ij}^\varepsilon = \begin{cases} \mathrm{id} & i = j, \\ s_{j-1}\partial_i^\varepsilon & i < j, \\ s_j \partial_{i-1}^\varepsilon & i > j. \end{cases}$$

Using the induction assumption, one checks that $\partial_i^\varepsilon \psi_{mj}^\alpha = \partial_{m-1}^\alpha \psi_{ij}^\varepsilon$ for $1 \leqslant i < m \leqslant n+1$, $\varepsilon, \alpha \in \{0,1\}$. Then there exists $\varphi_j : P_n \to K_{n+1}$ such that $k_i^\varepsilon \varphi_j = \psi_{ij}^\varepsilon$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$, $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$. Since P_n is $\mathscr{P}$-projective and e_{n+1} is $\mathscr{P}$-epimorphic, there is $s_j : P_n \to P_{n+1}$ with $e_{n+1}s_j = \varphi_j$. According to this, for $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$ and $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$, one has

$$\partial_i^\varepsilon s_j = k_1^\varepsilon e_{n+1} s_j = k_i^\varepsilon \varphi_j = \psi_{ij}^\varepsilon = \begin{cases} \mathrm{id} & i = j, \\ s_{j-1}\partial_i^\varepsilon & i < j, \\ s_j \partial_{i-1}^\varepsilon & i > j. \end{cases}$$

Thus we have constructed $s_1, \dots, s_{n+1} : P_n \to P_{n+1}$ with the desired properties.

(b) Define $\lambda_1^0, \lambda_2^0, \lambda_1^1, \lambda_2^1 : P_1 \to P_1$ by $\lambda_1^0 = \mathrm{id}$, $\lambda_2^0 = \mathrm{id}$, $\lambda_1^1 = s_1\partial_1^1$ and $\lambda_2^1 = s_1\partial_1^1$. One verifies that $\partial_1^\varepsilon \lambda_2^\alpha = \partial_1^\alpha \lambda_1^\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$. Hence there exists $\mu_1 : P_1 \to K_2$ such that $k_i^\varepsilon \mu_1 = \lambda_i^\varepsilon$, $i = 1,2$, $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$. As P_1 is $\mathscr{P}$-projective and e_2 is $\mathscr{P}$-epimorphic, $\mu_1 = e_2\Gamma_1$ for some $\Gamma_1 : P_1 \to P_2$, and we have

$$\partial_i^\varepsilon \Gamma_1 = k_i^\varepsilon e_2 \Gamma_1 = k_i^\varepsilon \mu_1 = \lambda_i^\varepsilon = \begin{cases} \mathrm{id} & i = 1,2, \ \ \varepsilon = 0, \\ s_1\partial_1^1 & i = 1,2, \ \ \varepsilon = 1. \end{cases}$$

Next, assume that $\Gamma_1 : P_1 \to P_2$, $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 : P_2 \to P_3, \dots, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_{n-1} : P_{n-1} \to P_n$ with the required properties are constructed, and for any fixed j, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, define $\lambda_{ij}^\varepsilon : P_n \to P_n$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$, $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$, as follows:

$$\lambda_{ij}^\varepsilon = \begin{cases} \Gamma_{j-1}\partial_i^\varepsilon & i < j, \ \ \varepsilon \in \{0,1\}, \\ \mathrm{id} & i = j, \ j+1, \ \ \varepsilon = 0, \\ s_j\partial_j^\varepsilon & i = j, \ j+1, \ \ \varepsilon = 1, \\ \Gamma_j\partial_{i-1}^\varepsilon & i > j+1, \ \ \varepsilon \in \{0,1\}. \end{cases}$$

By the induction assumption, $\partial_i^\varepsilon \lambda_{mj}^\alpha = \partial_{m-1}^\alpha \lambda_{ij}^\varepsilon$, $1 \leqslant i < m \leqslant n+1$, $\varepsilon, \alpha \in \{0,1\}$. Consequently, there is $\mu_j : P_n \to K_{n+1}$ such that, $k_i^\varepsilon \mu_j = \lambda_{ij}^\varepsilon$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$, $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$.

Since P_n is $\mathscr{P}$-projective and e_{n+1} is $\mathscr{P}$-epimorphic, there exists $\Gamma_j : P_n \to P_{n+1}$ with $e_{n+1}\Gamma_j = \mu_j$. Then, for $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ and $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$, one has

$$\partial_i^\varepsilon \Gamma_j = k_i^\varepsilon e_{n+1} \Gamma_j = k_i^\varepsilon \mu_j = \lambda_{ij}^\varepsilon \begin{cases} \Gamma_{j-1}\partial_i^\varepsilon & i < j, \ \ \varepsilon \in \{0,1\}, \\ \mathrm{id} & i = j, \ j+1, \ \ \varepsilon = 0, \\ s_j\partial_j^\varepsilon & i = j, \ j+1, \ \ \varepsilon = 1, \\ \Gamma_j\partial_{i-1}^\varepsilon & i > j+1, \ \ \varepsilon \in \{0,1\}. \end{cases}$$

Thus we have constructed $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n : P_n \to P_{n+1}$ satisfying the desired properties. □

Let $\mathscr{A}$ be an abelian category. It is shown in [16] that the functor $N : c(\mathscr{A}) \to Ch_{\geqslant 0}(\mathscr{A})$ sends cubically homotopic morphisms to chain homotopic morphisms. The proof, which we repeat here because of the completeness, shows that in fact one has

Proposition 3.6. *Let X be a pseudocubical object and Y a precubical object in an abelian category $\mathscr{A}$, and $f, g : X \to Y$ precubical morphisms. If f and g are precubically homotopic* (see Theorem 3.3)*, then $N(f)$ and $N(g) : N(X) \to N(Y)$ are chain homotopic.*

Proof. Let $h = (h_n : X_n \to Y_{n+1})_{n \geqslant 0}$ be a precubical homotopy from f to g, and let ν_n denote the canonical monomorphism from $N_n(X)$ to X_n, $n \geqslant 0$. One checks that $\partial_i^1(h_n - g_{n+1}s_1)\nu_n = 0$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n+1$, $n \geqslant 0$. Consequently, we have morphisms

$$t_n = (h_n - g_{n+1}s_1)\nu_n : N_n(X) \to N_{n+1}(Y), \quad n \geqslant 0.$$

Clearly,

$$\partial t_0 = \partial_1^0(h_0 - g_1 s_1)\nu_0 = (f_0 - g_0)\nu_0 = N_0(f) - N_0(g).$$

Further, for all $n \geqslant 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial t_n + t_{n-1}\partial &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1}\partial_i^0\right)(h_n - g_{n+1}s_1)\nu_n + (h_{n-1} - g_n s_1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+1}\partial_i^0\right)\nu_n \\ &= f_n\nu_n - g_n\nu_n + \left(\sum_{i=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1}\partial_i^0\right)(h_n - g_{n+1}s_1)\nu_n + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+1}\partial_{i+1}^0\right)(h_n - g_{n+1}s_1)\nu_n \\ &= f_n\nu_n - g_n\nu_n + \left(\sum_{i=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1}\partial_i^0\right)(h_n - g_{n+1}s_1)\nu_n + \left(\sum_{i=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{i}\partial_i^0\right)(h_n - g_{n+1}s_1)\nu_n \\ &= f_n\nu_n - g_n\nu_n = N_n(f) - N_n(g). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $t = (t_n : N_n(X) \to N_{n+1}(Y))_{n \geqslant 0}$ is a chain homotopy from $N(f)$ to $N(g)$. □

This proposition together with Theorem 2.4 implies

Proposition 3.7. *Let X and Y be pseudocubical objects with pseudoconnections in an abelian category $\mathscr{A}$, and $f, g : X \to Y$ precubical morphisms. If f and g are precubically homotopic, then $M(f)$ and $M(g) : M(X) \to M(Y)$ are chain homotopic.* □

We are now ready to introduce cubical derived functors.

Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a category with finite limits and a projective class $\mathscr{P}$, $\mathscr{B}$ an abelian category, and $T : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{B}$ an arbitrary (covariant) functor. We construct the left cubical derived functors $L_n^{\square}T : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{B}$, $n \geqslant 0$, of T as follows. If $A \in \mathscr{A}$, choose (once and for all) a $\mathscr{P}$-projective resolution $P \to A$ and define

$$L_n^{\square}T(A) = H_n\left(N(T(P))\right), \quad n \geqslant 0.$$

Theorem 3.3 and Propositions 3.4(a) and 3.6 show that the objects $L_n^{\square}T(A)$ are independent (up to natural isomorphism) of the resolution chosen (if $P' \to A$ is a second $\mathscr{P}$-projective resolution and $f : P \to P'$ a precubical morphism extending $\mathrm{id} : A \to A$, then $H_n(N(T(f))) : H_n(N(T(P))) \to H_n(N(T(P')))$ are isomorphisms). Moreover, by the same statements, it is immediately clear that $L_n^{\square}T$ are defined on morphisms and are functors from $\mathscr{A}$ to $\mathscr{B}$. Besides, functoriality in the variable T is obvious.

Similarly, in view of Theorem 3.3, Corollary 3.5 and Proposition 3.7, one can define functors $\widetilde{L}_n^{\square}T : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{B}$ by

$$\widetilde{L}_n^{\square}T(A) = H_n\left(M(T(P))\right), \quad n \geqslant 0.$$

It follows from Theorem 2.4 that in fact there are isomorphisms

$$L_n^{\square}T(A) \cong \widetilde{L}_n^{\square}T(A), \quad n \geqslant 0,$$

which are natural in A and in T.

Remark 3.8. As one sees the construction of $L_n^{\square}T$ essentially uses 3.4(a), and similarly $\widetilde{L}_n^{\square}T$ essentially uses 3.5. This contrasts with the fact that the construction of the derived functors by Tierney and Vogel does not use existence of pseudodegeneracies in $\mathscr{P}$-projective presimplicial resolutions. On the other hand, as shown in [16], the functor C (see Section 1) sends precubically homotopic morphisms of precubical objects to chain homotopic morphisms (cf. Propositions 3.6 and 3.7). This together with Theorem 3.3 allows us to conclude that one does not need Proposition 3.4(a) to prove that the functors

$$\bar{L}_n^{\square}T(A) = H_n(C(T(P))), \; n \geqslant 0,$$

where P is a $\mathscr{P}$-projective precubical resolution augmented over $A \in \mathscr{A}$, are correctly defined. But in this way one obtains "bad" derived functors by the following reason. One can easily see that $\bar{L}_n^{\square}T(Q) \cong T(Q)$ for any $Q \in \mathscr{P}$ and any $n \geqslant 0$. Thus in general the higher ($n > 0$) derived functors $\bar{L}_n^{\square}T$ do not vanish on $\mathscr{P}$-projectives, i. e., the crucial property of derived functors is not satisfied. In particular, there is no chance for $\bar{L}_n^{\square}T$ to coincide with the classical derived functors for additive functors T between abelian categories. At the same time, the derived functors $L_n^{\square}T$ and $\widetilde{L}_n^{\square}T$ certainly vanish on $\mathscr{P}$-projectives for $n > 0$, and in particular coincide with the classical derived functors for additive T, as we will show below in Theorem 4.4.

4. THE CASE OF AN ADDITIVE FUNCTOR BETWEEN ABELIAN CATEGORIES

Suppose that $\mathscr{A}$ is an abelian category and $T : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{B}$ an additive functor. Our aim is to compare $L_n^{\square}T$ ($n \geqslant 0$) with the derived functors of T in the sense of Eilenberg-Moore [7].

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mathscr{A}$ be an abelian category with a projective class $\mathscr{P}$ and suppose that $X \xrightarrow{\partial} A$ is a precubical object in $\mathscr{A}$ augmented over $A \in \mathscr{A}$. If $X \xrightarrow{\partial} A$ is $\mathscr{P}$-exact, then its augmented Moore chain complex*

$$M(X) : \cdots \to M_n(X) \xrightarrow{(-1)^{n+1}\partial_n^0} M_{n-1}(X) \to \cdots \to M_1(X) \xrightarrow{\partial_1^0} M_0(X) \xrightarrow{\partial} A \to 0$$

is $\mathscr{P}$-exact in the sense of Eilenberg-Moore [7]. *That is, the sequence of abelian groups*

$$\cdots \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(Q, M_n(X)) \xrightarrow{d_n} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(Q, M_{n-1}(X)) \to \dots$$

$$\cdots \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(Q, M_0(X)) \xrightarrow{d_0} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(Q, A) \to 0,$$

where $d_0 = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(Q, \partial)$ *and* $d_n = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(Q, (-1)^{n+1}\partial_n^0)$ $(n \geqslant 1)$, *is exact for any* $Q \in \mathscr{P}$.

Proof. Evidently, $d_n d_{n+1} = 0$, $n \geqslant 0$. Besides, since $\partial : X_0 \to A$ is $\mathscr{P}$-epimorphic, d_0 is surjective. Let $g : Q \to X_0 = M_0(X)$ be an $\mathscr{A}$-morphism with $d_0(g) = 0$ and consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & Q \arrow[d, shift right, "g"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "0"] \arrow[dll, dashed, "g'"'] \arrow[ddl, dashed, bend right=70, "\varphi"'] & \\
X_1 \arrow[rr, shift left, "\partial_1^0"] \arrow[rr, shift right, "\partial_1^1"'] \arrow[dr, "e_1"'] & & X_0 \arrow[r, "\partial"] & A, \\
 & K_1 \arrow[ur, shift left, "k_1^0"] \arrow[ur, shift right, "k_1^1"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $K_1 \overset{k_1^0}{\underset{k_1^1}{\rightrightarrows}} X_0$ is a kernel pair of $\partial : X_0 \to A$, and e_1 is $\mathscr{P}$-epimorphic. As $\partial g = 0$, there exists a unique $\varphi : Q \to K_1$ such that $k_1^0\varphi = g$ and $k_1^1\varphi = 0$. But $\varphi = e_1 g'$ for some $g' : Q \to X_1$, and we have $\partial_1^0 g' = k_1^0 e_1 g' = k_1^0 \varphi = g$ and $\partial_1^1 g' = k_1^1 e_1 g' = k_1^1 \varphi = 0$. It then follows from the construction of $M(X)$ that $g' = j_1 g''$, where j_1 denotes the inclusion $M_1(X) \hookrightarrow X_1$ and g'' is a uniquely defined $\mathscr{A}$-morphism from Q to $M_1(X)$. Clearly, $g = \partial_1^0 g' = \partial_1^0 j_1 g'' = \partial_1^0 g'' = d_1(g'')$. Thus the sequence is exact at $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(Q, M_0(X))$.

Now assume that $n > 0$ and $f : Q \to M_n(X)$ is an $\mathscr{A}$-morphism with $d_n(f) = 0$. Denote the inclusion $M_n(X) \hookrightarrow X_n$ by j_n and consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & Q \arrow[d, shift right=2, "\varphi_1^0"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\cdots"] \arrow[d, shift left=2, "\varphi_{n+1}^1"] \arrow[dll, dashed, "f'"'] \arrow[ddl, dashed, bend right=70, "\varphi"'] & \\
X_{n+1} \arrow[rr, shift left=2, "\partial_1^0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, "\vdots"] \arrow[rr, shift right=2, "\partial_{n+1}^1"'] \arrow[dr, "e_{n+1}"'] & & X_n \arrow[r, shift left=2, "\partial_1^0"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\vdots"] \arrow[r, shift right=2, "\partial_n^1"'] & X_{n-1} \\
 & K_{n+1} \arrow[ur, shift left=2, "k_1^0"] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\ddots"] \arrow[ur, shift right=2, "k_{n+1}^1"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $(k_1^0, \dots, k_{n+1}^0, k_1^1, \dots, k_{n+1}^1)$ is a cubical kernel of $(\partial_1^0, \dots, \partial_n^0, \partial_1^1, \dots, \partial_n^1)$, e_{n+1} is $\mathscr{P}$-epimorphic, and

$$\varphi_i^\varepsilon = \begin{cases} j_n f & i = n+1, \ \varepsilon = 0, \\ 0 & (i, \varepsilon) \neq (n+1, 0). \end{cases}$$

One checks that

$$\partial_i^\varepsilon \varphi_j^\alpha = \partial_{j-1}^\alpha \varphi_i^\varepsilon, \quad 1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n+1, \quad \varepsilon, \alpha \in \{0,1\}.$$

Consequently, there exists a unique $\varphi : Q \to K_{n+1}$ such that $k_i^\varepsilon \varphi = \varphi_i^\varepsilon$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$, $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$. Since e_{n+1} is $\mathscr{P}$-epimorphic and $Q \in \mathscr{P}$, one has $\varphi = e_{n+1} f'$ for some $f' : Q \to X_{n+1}$. But then

$$\partial_i^\varepsilon f' = \begin{cases} j_n f & (i,\varepsilon) = (n+1, 0), \\ 0 & (i,\varepsilon) \neq (n+1,0). \end{cases}$$

From this we conclude, by the construction of $M(X)$, that $f' = j_{n+1} f''$ for a uniquely defined $\mathscr{A}$-morphism $f'' : Q \to M_{n+1}(X)$. Clearly, $j_n f = \partial_{n+1}^0 f' = \partial_{n+1}^0 j_{n+1} f'' = j_n \partial_{n+1}^0 f''$, whence $f = \partial_{n+1}^0 f'' = (-1)^{n+2} \partial_{n+1}^0 (-1)^{n+2} f'' = d_{n+1}((-1)^{n+2} f'')$. □

Combining Proposition 4.1 with Theorem 2.4 we get

Proposition 4.2. *Let* $\mathscr{A}$ *be an abelian category with a projective class* $\mathscr{P}$ *and suppose that* $X \xrightarrow{\partial} A$ *is a pseudocubical object with pseudoconnections in* $\mathscr{A}$ *augmented over* $A \in \mathscr{A}$*. If* $X \to A$ *is* $\mathscr{P}$*-exact, then the augmented chain complex* $N(X) \xrightarrow{\partial} A$ *is* $\mathscr{P}$*-exact in the sense of Eilenberg-Moore.* □

For any pseudocubical object X in an abelian category $\mathscr{A}$, one has $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms $\sigma_n^X : X_n \to X_n$ defined by

$$\sigma_0^X = \mathrm{id},\ \sigma_1^X = (\mathrm{id} - s_1 \partial_1^1), \ldots, \sigma_n^X = (\mathrm{id} - s_1 \partial_1^1) \cdots (\mathrm{id} - s_n \partial_n^1), \ldots \ .$$

It is immediate that $\partial_j^1 \sigma_n^X = 0$, $n > 0$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$. Therefore, by the construction of $N(X)$, each σ_n^X factors as

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_n \arrow[rr, "\sigma_n^X"] \arrow[dr, "\tau_n^X"'] & & X_n \\
& N_n(X) \arrow[ur, hook, "\nu_n^X"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ν_n^X is the inclusion. One can easily see that $\tau_n^X \nu_n^X = \mathrm{id}$, i.e., τ_n^X is a retraction for each n (see [16, 17]). This together with Corollary 3.5 and Proposition 4.2 gives

Proposition 4.3. *Let* $\mathscr{A}$ *be an abelian category with a projective class* $\mathscr{P}$ *which is closed with respect to retracts. If* $P \xrightarrow{\partial} A$ *is a* $\mathscr{P}$*-projective precubical resolution of* $A \in \mathscr{A}$*, then the augmented chain complex* $N(P) \xrightarrow{\partial} A$ *is a* $\mathscr{P}$*-projective resolution of* A *in the sense of Eilenberg-Moore* [7]*.* □

Now let $\mathscr{A}$ be an abelian category with a projective class $\mathscr{P}$, $\mathscr{B}$ an abelian category, and $T : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{B}$ an additive (covariant) functor. Then one constructs, with respect to $\mathscr{P}$, the classical left derived functors $L_n T : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{B}$, $n \geqslant 0$. On the other hand, since any abelian category admits finite limits, we can build $\mathscr{P}$-projective precubical resolutions, and therefore can construct the cubical left derived functors $L_n^\square T : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{B}$, $n \geqslant 0$.

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose* $\mathscr{P}$ *is closed* [7] *or, more generally, is closed with respect to retracts. Then there are natural isomorphisms*

$$L_n^\square T(A) \cong L_n T(A), \quad A \in \mathscr{A}, \quad n \geqslant 0.$$

Proof. Let $P \xrightarrow{\partial} A$ be a $\mathscr{P}$-projective precubical resolution of $A \in \mathscr{A}$. By Proposition 3.4(a), P has pseudodegeneracy operators. Then, as noted above, we have $\mathscr{A}$-morphisms $\sigma_n^P : P_n \to P_n$, $\tau_n^P : P_n \to N_n(P)$ with $\sigma_n^P = \nu_n^P \tau_n^P$ and $\tau_n^P \nu_n^P = 1$. The latter gives $T(\tau_n^P) T(\nu_n^P) = T(\tau_n^P \nu_n^P) = T(\mathrm{id}) = \mathrm{id}$. Hence $T(\tau_n^P) : T(P_n) \to T(N_n(P))$ is an epimorphism and $T(\nu_n^P) : T(N_n(P)) \to$

$T(P_n)$ is a monomorphism. Since T is additive, $T(\sigma_n^P) = \sigma_n^{T(P)}$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & N_n(T(P)) \arrow[dr, hook, "\nu_n^{T(P)}"] & \\
T(P_n) \arrow[ur, "\tau_n^{T(P)}"] \arrow[rr, "T(\sigma_n^P)\sigma_n^{T(P)}"] \arrow[dr, "T(\tau_n^P)"'] & & T(P_n) \\
 & T(N_n(P)) \arrow[ur, "T(\nu_n^P)"'] &
\end{tikzcd} .$$

As $\tau_n^{T(P)}$ and $T(\tau_n^P)$ are epimorphisms, $\mathrm{Im}(T(\nu_n^P)) = \mathrm{Im}(\nu_n^{T(P)})$. But $\nu_n^{T(P)}$ is an inclusion and $T(\nu_n^P)$ is a monomorphism. Hence $\mathrm{Im}(\nu_n^{T(P)}) = N_n(T(P))$ and

$$T(\nu_n^P) : T(N_n(P)) \to N_n(T(P))$$

is an isomorphism for each n. One can easily see that these isomorphisms commute with the differentials and induce natural isomorphisms on the homologies. In view of Proposition 4.3, $N(P) \xrightarrow{\partial} A$ is a $\mathscr{P}$-projective resolution of A in the sense of Eilenberg-Moore. Consequently, $L_nT(A) = H_n(T(N(P)))$. On the other hand, by the definition of $L_n^{\square}T$, $L_n^{\square}T(A) = H_n(N(T(P)))$. Thus we have isomorphisms

$$L_nT(A) \cong L_n^{\square}T(A), \quad n \geqslant 0,$$

which are natural in A and in T. □

There remains an open question here. We do not know whether the cubical derived functors introduced by us coincide with the Tierney-Vogel derived functors in full generality, or at least, with the Dold-Puppe derived functors in the particular case of an arbitrary (not necessarily additive) functor on an abelian category.

References

[1] Antolini, R. Geometric realisations of cubical sets with connections, and classifying spaces of categories. Appl. Categ. Struct. 10, No.5, 481-494 (2002).

[2] Antolini, R.; Wiest, B. The singular cubical set of a topological space. Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 126, No.1, 149-154 (1999).

[3] Barr, M.; Beck, J. Acyclic models and triples. Proc. Conf. Categor. Algebra, La Jolla 1965, 336-343 (1966).

[4] Brown, R.; Higgins, P. J. On the algebra of cubes. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 21, 233-260 (1981).

[5] Brown, R.; Higgins, P. J. Cubical Abelian groups with connections are equivalent to chain complexes. Homology Homotopy Appl. 5, No.1, 49-52 (2003).

[6] Dold, A.; Puppe, D. Homologie nicht-additiver Funktoren. Anwendungen. Ann. Inst. Fourier 11, 201-312 (1961).

[7] Eilenberg, S.; Moore, J. C. Foundations of relative homological algebra. Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 55, 39 p. (1965).

[8] Golasinski, M. On weak homotopy equivalence of simplicial and cubical nerve. Demonstr. Math. 14, 889-899 (1981).

[9] Golasinski, M. Homotopies of small categories. Fundam. Math. 114, 209-217 (1981).

[10] Jardine, J. F. Cubical homotopy theory: a beginning. Preprint, avaliable online — see the following link: `http://www.math.uwo.ca/~jardine/papers/preprints/cubical2.pdf`

[11] Kadeishvili, T.; Saneblidze, S. A cubical model for a fibration. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 196, No. 2-3, 203-228 (2005).

[12] Kan, D. M. Abstract homotopy. I. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 41, 1092-1096 (1955).

[13] Kan, D. M. Abstract homotopy. II. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 42, 255-258 (1956).

[14] Massey, W. S. Singular homology theory. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 70. New York Heidelberg Berlin: Springer- Verlag. XII, 265 p. (1980).

[15] May, J. P. Simplicial objects in algebraic topology. Princeton, N.J.-Toronto-London-Melbourne: D. van Nostrand Company, Inc. VI, 161 p. (1968).

[16] Świątek, A. Kategorie obiektów kostkowych, preprint nr 27, Toruń 1975.

[17] Świątek, A. Category of cubical objects and category of simplicial objects. Comment. Math. Prace Mat. 22 (1980/81), no. 2, 307–316.

[18] Tierney, M.; Vogel, W. Simplicial resolutions and derived functors. Math. Z. 111, 1-14 (1968).

[19] Tonks, A. P. Cubical groups which are Kan. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 81, No.1, 83-87 (1992).

[20] Weibel, C. A. An introduction to homological algebra. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. 38. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press. xiv, 450 p. (1995).

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT
UNIVERSITÄT BONN
ENDENICHER ALLEE 60
53115 BONN, GERMANY
E-mail address: irpatchk@math.uni-bonn.de